Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/628,607	MOORE, MARGARET A.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Melvin H Pollack	2145
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) Melvin H Pollack (USPTO).	(3)	
(2) Paul Clark (Reg. No. 30,162).	(4)	
Date f Interview: <u>14 December 2004</u>	Time: 3:00 PM	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicat Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	ant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 1, 2 Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate of directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate of did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summar 	e examiner will provide a writtorecord of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
	•	
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	/Applicant's Representative Si	anature – if annonriate)
(Applicant	,, the module of tobiogonialise of	anararo – ii abbiobilaro)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner called to explore possibility of examiner's amendment to add limitations mentioned in remarks but not in claims, notably real-time scheduled dialogue and personalized recommendations. Attorney did consider the amendment as acceptible. However, further discussion with Examiner's primary and SPE has prompted the examiner to withdraw the proposed examiner's amendment.